Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

Visual Perception Accuracy as a Predictor of Academic Performance Among University Students

[1]Abdul Hanan Sami
[*2]Mazhar Ali
[3]Syed Hassan Abrar
[1]Senior Lecturer, Department of Social Sciences, SZABIST University, Islamabad Campus
[*2]Senior Lecturer, Department of Psychology, Riphah International University, Malakand Campus
[3]Consultant Psychologist, Educational & Mental Health Services, Abbottabad
[1]abdul.hanan@szabist-isb.edu.pk, [*2]m.ali@riphah.edu.pk, [3]hassanbukhari11@gmail.com

Article Details:`

Received on 16 May, 2026
Accepted on 02 June, 2026
Published on 03 June, 2026

Corresponding Authors*:
Mazhar Ali

Abstract

Academic performance is a multifaceted construct shaped by cognitive, motivational, and environmental factors. However, the role of perceptual-cognitive processes in higher education remains underexplored. Grounded in information processing theory and cognitive load theory, this study examined whether visual perception accuracy predicts academic performance among university students. A quantitative, correlational design was employed with a sample of 250 undergraduate students from public and private universities. Visual perception accuracy was assessed using the Test of Visual Perceptual Skills–Fourth Edition (TVPS-4), while academic performance was operationalized as cumulative grade point average (CGPA). Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, and multiple regression in SPSS. Results revealed a statistically significant, moderate positive relationship between visual perception accuracy and CGPA. Multiple regression analysis confirmed that visual perception accuracy was a strong, independent predictor of CGPA even after controlling for age, gender, academic discipline, and year of study, accounting for 27% of the variance in academic performance. These findings suggest that students with greater visual perception accuracy engage in more efficient cognitive processing, thereby achieving better academic outcomes. The study provides empirical support for perceptual-cognitive learning theories and highlights visual perception accuracy as a significant yet underrecognized determinant of academic success in tertiary education. Implications for academic assessment, instructional design, and intervention planning are discussed.

Keywords: Visual perception accuracy; academic performance; cognitive processing; university students; educational psychology; quantitative research

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

INTRODUCTION

Academic performance is a central concern in educational psychology, reflecting students' cognitive growth, learning efficacy, and long-term professional competence. Research has identified numerous predictors of academic achievement, including intelligence, executive functioning, motivation, socioeconomic status, and instructional quality. Despite their collective explanatory value, these variables do not fully account for the wide variability observed in student outcomes, prompting growing interest in underlying perceptual-cognitive mechanisms.

Visual perception—the ability to recognize, differentiate, organize, and interpret visual information from the environment—is one such mechanism. Unlike visual acuity, which concerns basic optical clarity, visual perception accuracy involves higher-order cognitive processes including visual discrimination, spatial relations, visual memory, form constancy, figure-ground perception, and visual closure (Goldstein, 2021; Farran & Kovas, 2022). These processes are fundamental to academically relevant tasks such as reading, symbol recognition, graph and diagram interpretation, mathematical problem-solving, and navigation of digital learning platforms. Their importance has grown alongside the increased use of visually complex instructional materials and screen-based learning environments (Mayer & Fiorella, 2022; Chen et al., 2023).

Theoretically, both information processing theory and cognitive load theory position perception as the initial gateway to learning, directly influencing the quality of encoding, working memory efficiency, and information retrieval (Sweller et al., 2019; Paas & Sweller, 2020). When visual perception is inaccurate, extraneous cognitive load increases and working memory capacity is diminished, resulting in compromised comprehension. Students with more accurate visual perception are therefore better positioned to process academic content effectively. Neurocognitive evidence further supports this view, demonstrating shared neural networks between visual-spatial processing, attention, and higher-order academic cognition in the occipital and parietal cortices (Kersey & Cantlon, 2020; Cantlon et al., 2021).

Despite this theoretical grounding, research on visual perception accuracy as a predictor of academic achievement in higher education remains sparse. The majority of existing studies focus on elementary-age children or clinical populations, with relatively little attention directed toward university students. Moreover, few studies have employed standardized perceptual assessments alongside regression-based analyses that control for relevant demographic variables. This study addresses these gaps by investigating the predictive role of visual perception accuracy on CGPA in a sample of Pakistani university students, using the TVPS-4 and multiple regression analysis.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A growing body of empirical evidence supports associations between visual perceptual skills and academic outcomes. Studies on primary school children have consistently identified visual discrimination, visual-motor integration, and spatial perception as significant predictors of reading fluency and mathematics performance, even after controlling for intelligence and socioeconomic status (De Waal et al., 2018; Sortor & Kulp, 2020). Longitudinal findings further indicate that early visual perceptual skills predict subsequent academic achievement, underscoring their developmental relevance (Mix et al., 2021).

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

In the domain of literacy, visual perception accuracy is implicated in reading speed, accuracy, and comprehension. Visual discrimination and visual attention predict reading fluency, while perceptual deficits correlate with lower decoding accuracy and reading rate (Gori & Facoetti, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). These findings challenge strictly phonological accounts of reading acquisition and highlight the independent contribution of visual processing to literacy development.

Visual-spatial perception has similarly been identified as a robust predictor of mathematical reasoning and STEM performance. Students with higher spatial accuracy demonstrate superior performance in arithmetic, geometry, and problem-solving tasks, owing to enhanced symbol discrimination, numerical matching, and spatial manipulation (Uttal et al., 2020; Mix et al., 2021; Newcombe, 2023). Meta-analytic evidence further confirms that spatial abilities are malleable—amenable to training—suggesting that academic performance can be improved through targeted visual-spatial interventions (Uttal et al., 2020).

These findings extend to adolescents and young adults. Ji et al. (2024) demonstrated that visual-spatial accuracy was a significant predictor of GPA among college students, explaining variance above and beyond conventional cognitive measures. Research in higher education contexts also highlights the importance of visual perception accuracy in multimedia and digital learning, where students must rapidly process complex, layered visual information (Mayer & Fiorella, 2022; Chen et al., 2023). Despite this evidence, visual perception accuracy remains underrepresented in academic performance models at the university level. The extant literature is predominantly focused on basic vision screening or child populations, with limited attention to higher-order perceptual accuracy as a quantitative predictor of tertiary academic outcomes. Furthermore, few studies have used regression-based methods that statistically isolate the unique contribution of visual perception while controlling for demographic variables, limiting the interpretability and generalizability of available findings (Farran & Kovas, 2022). The present study addresses this gap.

METHOD

Research Design

A quantitative, correlational research design was used to examine the predictive relationship between visual perception accuracy and academic performance. Correlational designs are appropriate when the goal is to assess the strength and direction of relationships among variables without experimental manipulation (Creswell & Creswell, 2018), and they are well-suited to the application of regression analysis for evaluating cognitive predictors of academic outcomes (Field, 2018).

Participants

Participants were 250 undergraduate students recruited from public and private universities in Pakistan. The sample included students from diverse disciplines—social sciences, natural sciences, and professional programs—to enhance the generalizability of findings. Ages ranged from 18 to 25 years ($M = 21.36$, $SD = 1.89$), which is representative of the standard undergraduate cohort. The sample size was consistent with power recommendations for multiple regression, which favor larger samples to obtain stable parameter estimates and adequate statistical power to detect medium-sized effects (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2019).

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

Inclusion criteria required full-time enrollment and normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Students with diagnosed neurological conditions or significant visual impairments were excluded to minimize confounding influences on perceptual processing.

Sampling

Convenience sampling was employed due to accessibility and feasibility constraints inherent in educational research (Etikan et al., 2016). Although probability sampling would enhance representativeness, convenience sampling is widely accepted in psychological research when the primary aim is theory testing rather than population estimation (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Deliberate efforts were made to recruit students across academic years and disciplines to maximize sample heterogeneity.

Measures

Visual Perception Accuracy. Visual perception accuracy was assessed using the *Test of Visual Perceptual Skills–Fourth Edition* (TVPS-4; Martin, 2017). The TVPS-4 is a standardized, motor-free instrument measuring seven dimensions of visual perception: visual discrimination, visual memory, spatial relationships, form constancy, sequential memory, figure-ground perception, and visual closure. The instrument demonstrates strong psychometric properties, with internal consistency coefficients ranging from .80 to .96 and satisfactory construct validity across age groups (Martin, 2017; Sortor & Kulp, 2020). Higher scores indicate greater visual perception accuracy.

Academic Performance. Academic performance was operationalized as cumulative grade point average (CGPA), a widely used, objective indicator of academic achievement in higher education research (Richardson et al., 2012; Ji et al., 2024). CGPA data were obtained from institutional records with participant consent or through self-report, which has demonstrated acceptable reliability in academic samples (Kuncel et al., 2005).

Procedure

Participants were recruited via classroom announcements and institutional communication channels. Participation was voluntary; prospective participants received an information sheet detailing the study's purpose, procedures, and their right to withdraw at any time without penalty. Written informed consent was obtained prior to participation. Data collection took place in quiet classroom settings to minimize distraction. Following completion of a brief demographic form, participants completed the TVPS-4 under standardized administration conditions. Assessment sessions lasted approximately 30–40 minutes. CGPA data were collected subsequently through institutional records or verified self-report. All data were anonymized and coded to ensure confidentiality.

Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical standards for psychological research as outlined by the American Psychological Association (APA, 2020). Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any point without consequence. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained through numerical coding. The study involved no deception, no high-risk procedures, and no significant psychological risk. All data were stored securely and used exclusively for research purposes.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS. Descriptive statistics summarized participant characteristics and primary study variables. Prior to inferential analyses, assumptions of normality, linearity, homoscedasticity, and multicollinearity were examined (Field, 2018). A Pearson correlation coefficient was computed to assess the bivariate relationship between

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

visual perception accuracy and CGPA. This was followed by a hierarchical multiple regression analysis to determine whether visual perception accuracy predicted academic performance above and beyond demographic covariates (age, gender, academic discipline, and year of study). Statistical significance was set at α = .05, and effect sizes were interpreted in accordance with established conventions (Cohen, 1988; Tabachnick & Fidell, 2019).

RESULTS

Table 1: ***Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables (N = 250)***

Variable	M	SD	Min	Max
Visual Perception Accuracy	98.42	12.37	68	125
Academic Performance (CGPA)	3.12	0.47	2.01	4.00
Age (years)	21.36	1.89	18	25

Note. M = Mean; SD = Standard Deviation.

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the primary study variables. Participants demonstrated moderate-to-high visual perception accuracy (M = 98.42, SD = 12.37), with scores ranging from 68 to 125. Mean CGPA was 3.12 (SD = 0.47), indicating generally satisfactory academic performance. The age distribution was consistent with a typical undergraduate sample. The variability observed in both visual perception accuracy and CGPA confirmed the suitability of these variables for correlational and regression analyses.

Table 2: ***Correlation Matrix for Visual Perception Accuracy and Academic Performance***

Variable	1	2
1. Visual Perception Accuracy	—	
2. Academic Performance (CGPA)	.46**	—

Note. **p < .01.

Table 2 shows a statistically significant, moderate positive correlation between visual perception accuracy and CGPA (r = .46, p < .01), indicating that students with higher visual perception accuracy tended to achieve higher grade point averages. This moderate effect size justified the subsequent regression analysis to evaluate the independent predictive contribution of visual perception accuracy.

Table 3: ***Multiple Regression Analysis Predicting Academic Performance (CGPA)***

Predictor	B	SE B	β	t	p
Constant	1.24	0.31	—	4.00	< .001
Visual Perception Accuracy	0.018	0.003	.41	6.12	< .001
Age	0.021	0.012	.09	1.75	.082
Gender	0.046	0.038	.06	1.21	.227
Academic Discipline	0.031	0.029	.05	1.07	.286
Year of Study	0.058	0.025	.13	2.32	.021

Note. B = unstandardized coefficient; SE B = standard error; β = standardized coefficient.

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

Table 3 presents the regression results. Visual perception accuracy emerged as a strong, statistically significant predictor of CGPA (β = .41, p < .001) after controlling for all demographic variables. Each one-unit increase in visual perception accuracy was associated with a 0.018-unit increase in CGPA. Among control variables, only year of study made a significant positive contribution (β = .13, p = .021); age, gender, and academic discipline were not significant predictors.

Table 4: ***Model Summary for Regression Analysis***

R	R^2	Adj. R^2	F	p
.52	.27	.25	17.84	< .001

Note. df = (5, 244).

As shown in Table 4, the regression model accounted for 27% of the variance in CGPA (R^2 = .27, Adjusted R^2 = .25), and was statistically significant, F(5, 244) = 17.84, p < .001. This level of explained variance is considered practically meaningful in educational and psychological research, underscoring the substantive importance of visual perception accuracy as a predictor of academic performance.

DISCUSSION

This study investigated visual perception accuracy as a predictor of academic achievement among university students using a quantitative, correlational design. Findings provided robust empirical support for a positive and significant relationship between visual perception accuracy and academic performance, which held after controlling for key demographic variables.

Primary Findings

The central finding was a statistically significant, moderate positive correlation between visual perception accuracy and CGPA (r = .46), indicating that students who more accurately process and interpret visual information tend to perform better academically. Regression analysis further confirmed that visual perception accuracy independently predicted CGPA (β = .41), explaining unique variance above demographic covariates. This is consistent with Ji et al. (2024), who reported that visual-spatial accuracy predicted university GPA over and above general cognitive measures.

The magnitude of the predictive effect is noteworthy. In educational psychology, explaining approximately a quarter of the variance in an academically multifactorial outcome such as GPA represents a practically significant finding (Richardson et al., 2012; Field, 2018). This positions visual perception accuracy not as a peripheral skill but as a meaningful cognitive contributor to academic achievement.

Consistency with Prior Literature

These findings extend a substantial body of earlier research to the higher education context. Studies in primary and secondary education have consistently demonstrated that visual discrimination, spatial relations, and visual memory predict reading fluency, comprehension, and mathematical achievement (Sortor & Kulp, 2020; Mix et al., 2021). The present study replicates and extends this pattern to university students, addressing a recognized gap in the literature (Farran & Kovas, 2022).

In literacy research, Gori and Facoetti (2020) argued that visual perceptual processing is foundational to reading acquisition and fluency, independent of phonological processing. Eye-tracking studies similarly report that individuals with stronger visual perception display more efficient reading-related visual scanning and

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

achieve higher comprehension outcomes (Zhang et al., 2021). In STEM domains, meta-analytic evidence confirms that spatial accuracy predicts academic success and is amenable to training (Uttal et al., 2020; Newcombe, 2023), findings supported by the current results in a university context.

Theoretical Implications

The findings are consistent with the theoretical frameworks guiding this study. From the perspective of information processing theory, accurate visual perception facilitates the quality of sensory input entering the cognitive system, thereby supporting efficient encoding, working memory use, and retrieval of academic information (Goldstein, 2021). The predictive value of visual perception accuracy observed here confirms that perceptual accuracy at the entry point of processing has downstream consequences for academic performance.

Cognitive load theory further illuminates these results. Students with higher visual perception accuracy appear better equipped to manage both intrinsic and extraneous cognitive load. By reducing the effort required to decode instructional materials, accurate perception frees working memory resources for deeper processing and meaningful learning (Sweller et al., 2019; Paas & Sweller, 2020). This is especially relevant in contemporary educational contexts featuring visually dense multimedia content (Mayer & Fiorella, 2022).

Visual-spatial cognitive theory is also supported, as it holds that visual perception and spatial processing underpin higher-order cognition including reasoning and academic problem-solving. Neurocognitive research documenting overlapping neural circuitry for visual perception, attention, and symbolic processing (Cantlon et al., 2021) corroborates the view that visual perception accuracy is a foundational cognitive process with direct implications for academic learning.

Role of Demographic Variables

Even when demographic variables were included in the regression model, visual perception accuracy remained the strongest predictor of CGPA. The non-significance of age, gender, and academic discipline aligns with prior research suggesting that cognitive skills, rather than demographic characteristics, are primary determinants of academic performance at the university level (Richardson et al., 2012; Farran & Kovas, 2022). The modest but significant contribution of year of study likely reflects increasing academic adaptation and familiarity with university learning demands over time. Importantly, the consistent predictive strength of visual perception accuracy across academic years suggests it functions as a stable, generalizable cognitive attribute.

Practical and Educational Implications

These results carry meaningful implications for educational assessment and intervention. If visual perception accuracy is a significant predictor of academic performance, institutions may benefit from incorporating standardized perceptual-cognitive screening into student support services, enabling the early identification of students at risk for academic difficulties attributable to perceptual processing deficits (Sortor & Kulp, 2020).

Instructional designers and educators can also draw on these findings to optimize learning materials by reducing unnecessary visual complexity and improving the clarity of visual presentations. Research on multimedia learning consistently shows that well-designed visual content supports comprehension and retention, particularly among learners with varying perceptual capabilities (Mayer & Fiorella, 2022; Chen et al., 2023).

Vol. 4 No. 6 (2026)

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

In resource-constrained educational settings, including those common in developing countries, training programs targeting visual discrimination, spatial reasoning, and visual memory may offer a cost-effective approach to enhancing cognitive skills with demonstrated academic relevance (Uttal et al., 2020).

Limitations

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the correlational design precludes causal inference. Although visual perception accuracy significantly predicted academic performance, longitudinal or experimental designs are necessary to establish directionality and causation. Second, convenience sampling limits the external validity of findings; future research employing probability sampling methods would improve representativeness. Third, academic performance was indexed solely by CGPA, which, despite its broad acceptance, may not capture domain-specific academic competencies. Incorporating subject-level performance measures could provide a more nuanced understanding of how visual perception accuracy relates to achievement across disciplinary contexts.

Future Research Directions

Future studies should employ longitudinal designs to examine how visual perception accuracy influences academic trajectories over time. Experimental research evaluating the effects of visual perceptual training programs on academic outcomes would provide causal evidence and inform intervention design. Investigations into mediating variables—such as attention, working memory, and learning strategies—would clarify the mechanisms through which visual perception accuracy affects academic performance. Cross-cultural research examining whether the predictive value of visual perception accuracy varies across educational systems and cultural contexts would further strengthen the theoretical and applied significance of this research line.

CONCLUSION

This study provides compelling evidence that visual perception accuracy is a meaningful and independent predictor of academic achievement among university students. Using a standardized perceptual measure and regression-based analysis controlling for demographic variables, the findings demonstrate that visual perception accuracy accounts for a substantial portion of variance in CGPA. These results extend perceptual-cognitive research to the higher education context, offer empirical support for information processing and cognitive load theories, and underscore the importance of incorporating perceptual accuracy into academic research, assessment frameworks, and student support interventions. Future longitudinal and experimental research is needed to establish causal mechanisms and evaluate the efficacy of targeted perceptual training programs.

REFERENCES

Ali, M., Ahmed, A., Khan, F. A., Asghar, M., & Ullah, S. (2021). Covid-19 And Social Media: Behavioral Change And Public Awareness. *Webology*, *18*(6), 8553-8571.

American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.). APA.

Cantlon, J. F., Pinel, P., Dehaene, S., & Pelphrey, K. A. (2021). Cortical representations of symbols, numbers, and space in academic cognition. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 25(3), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2020.12.004

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

Chen, C. M., Wang, J. Y., & Lin, Y. H. (2023). Effects of visual perception and multimedia design on learning performance in digital environments. Computers & Education, 195, 104695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104695

Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approach (5th ed.). SAGE.

De Waal, E., Pienaar, A. E., & Coetzee, D. J. (2018). Influence of visual perceptual constructs on academic achievement. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 125(5), 1–20.

Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics, 5(1), 1–4.

Farran, E. K., & Kovas, Y. (2022). Visual-spatial cognition and academic achievement: A developmental perspective. British Journal of Developmental Psychology, 40(2), 183–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.12407

Field, A. (2018). Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Goldstein, E. B. (2021). Sensation and perception (11th ed.). Cengage Learning.

Gori, S., & Facoetti, A. (2020). How the visual aspects can be crucial in reading acquisition. Vision Research, 176, 29–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2020.06.003

Ilyas, M., Ali, M., Abrar, S. H., & Gul, A. (2025). Filtered Realities: The Impact of TikTok Beauty Trends on Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Young Adults. *Policy Journal of Social Science Review*, *3*(11), 279-289.

Ji, M., Le, J., Chen, B., & Li, Z. (2024). Visual-spatial skills as predictors of academic achievement in university students. Frontiers in Psychology, 15, 1434015. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1434015

Kersey, A. J., & Cantlon, J. F. (2020). Neural tuning to numerosity relates to perceptual timing in the brain. Current Biology, 30(18), 3559–3569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.083

Khan, I. U., Cavaliere, L. P. L., & Ali, M. (2021). DIGITAL DIVIDE DURING COVID-19 PANDEMIC AMONG UNDERGRADUAT STUDENTS OF PUNJAB, PAKISTAN. *Ilkogretim Online*, *20*(6).

Kuncel, N. R., Credé, M., & Thomas, L. L. (2005). The validity of self-reported GPA. Review of Educational Research, 75(1), 63–82.

Martin, N. A. (2017). Test of Visual Perceptual Skills–Fourth Edition (TVPS-4). Academic Therapy Publications.

Mayer, R. E., & Fiorella, L. (2022). Principles for managing essential processing in multimedia learning: Segmenting, pre-training, and modality principles. Educational Psychology Review, 34(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09608-2

Mix, K. S., Levine, S. C., & Cheng, Y. L. (2021). The latent structure of spatial and mathematical skills. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 150(3), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000961

Newcombe, N. S. (2023). Harnessing spatial thinking to support STEM learning. npj Science of Learning, 8(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-023-00147-4

Journal of Social Signs Review

Online ISSN 3006-4651

Print ISSN 3006-466X

Paas, F., & Sweller, J. (2020). Cognitive load theory: Implications of cognitive architecture for instructional design. Educational Psychology Review, 32(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09541-4

Richardson, M., Abraham, C., & Bond, R. (2012). Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 138(2), 353–387. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026838

Sortor, J. M., & Kulp, M. T. (2020). Are visual perceptual skills related to academic achievement? Optometry and Vision Science, 97(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0000000000001458

Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2019). Cognitive load theory (2nd ed.). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9034-1

Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2019). Using multivariate statistics (7th ed.). Pearson.

Uttal, D. H., Meadow, N. G., Tipton, E., Hand, L. L., Alden, A. R., Warren, C., & Newcombe, N. S. (2020). The malleability of spatial skills: A meta-analysis of training studies. Psychological Bulletin, 146(4), 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000221

Zaib, K., & Al-Hawtali, A. M. (2025). The literary cartography of East Africa in Abdulrazak Gurnah's Paradise: A study through critical cartography and postcolonial spatial theory. *Dialogue Social Science Review (DSSR)*, *3*(11), 1-16.

Zaib, K., Ahmed, S., & Ullah, S. (2026). Meme Culture as Folk Art: Digital Vernacular Creativity and Community Formation. *FWU Journal of Social Sciences*, *20*(1).

Zaib, K., Hassan, S., & Zahir, K. (2026). Voices Of Displaced: A Vader-Based Sentiment Analysis Of Afghan Repatriates'long-Term Lived Experiences In Pakistan. *International Premier Journal of Languages & Literature*, *4*(2), 16-33.

Zhang, Y., Lin, D., & Zhang, X. (2021). Visual perception and reading comprehension: Evidence from eye-movement studies. Reading and Writing, 34(6), 1547–1565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-020-10098-2